arXiv:1702.06908v1 [math.CV] 22 Feb 2017

JET VANISHING ORDERS AND EFFECTIVITY OF KOHN'S ALGORITHM IN DIMENSION 3

SUNG-YEON KIM* AND DMITRI ZAITSEV**

Abstract. We propose a new class of geometric invariants called *jet vanishing orders*, and use them to establish a new selection algorithm for generators of the Kohn's multiplier ideals for special domains in dimension 3. In particular, we obtain the effective termination of our selection algorithm with explicit bounds.

1. Introduction

In his seminal paper [K79], J.J. Kohn invented a purely algebraic construction of ideals of subelliptic multipliers for the $\bar\partial$-Neumann problem. The goal of this note is to propose a new class of geometric invariants, called *jet vanishing orders*, that permit to obtain a fine grained control of the effectiveness in the Kohn's algorithm for the so-called *special domains* of finite type in $\mathbb{C}^3$. We refer the reader to the work of Y.-T. Siu [S10] and of D.W. Catlin and J.P. D'Angelo [CD10] for recent advances and more profound and extensive discussions of this vast subject, and shall focus here on the parts immediately related to our contribution.

1.1. **Kohn's algorithm for special domains.** We shall consider so-called *special domains* as introduced by J.J. Kohn in [K79], defined locally near the origin by holomorphic functions in the first n variables:

$$\Omega := \{\mathsf{Re}\,(z_{n+1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} |F_j(z_1,\dots,z_n)|^2 < 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $F_1,\dots,F_m$ is any collection of holomorphic functions vanishing at the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n$ (and defined in its neighborhood).

Next recall the *Kohn's algorithm* or, more precisely, its holomorphic variant for $(p,1)$-forms (i.e. $q=1$) on special domains (1.1). For any set S of holomorphic function germs at 0 in $\mathbb{C}^n$, consider the ideal $J(S)$ generated by all function germs g satisfying

$$df_1\wedge\ldots\wedge df_n = g\,dz_1\wedge\ldots\wedge dz_n,\quad f_1,\dots,f_n\in S,$$

i.e. by all *Jacobian determinants*

$$\det(\partial f_1,\dots,\partial f_n), \tag{1.2}$$

*This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning(grant number NRF-2015R1A2A2A11001367).

where ∂f_j is regarded as a gradient column. Then the Kohn's $1st$ *multiplier ideal* for (1.1) is defined as the radical

$$J^1 := \sqrt{J(S)}, \quad S := \{F_1, \dots, F_m\}, \tag{1.3}$$

and for any $k > 1$, the Kohn's *kth multiplier ideal* is

$$J^k := \sqrt{J(S \cup J^{k-1})}.$$

The obtained increasing sequence of ideals $J^1 \subset J^2 \subset ...$ is said to *terminate at* k if J^k contains the unit 1. Kohn proved in [K79] that the necessary and sufficient condition for the termination is the finiteness of the type of $\partial\Omega$ at 0 (in the sense of D'Angelo [D82]), which for a special domain (1.1) equals $2\tau(S)$, where S is given by (1.3) and

$$\tau(S) := \sup_{\gamma} \inf_{f\in S} \frac{\nu(f\circ\gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)} \tag{1.4}$$

is the type of the set S, where the supremum is taken over all nonconstant germs of holomorphic curves $\gamma\colon (\mathbb{C},0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n,0)$, and $\nu(g)$ denotes the vanishing order of g at 0.

Finally, in presence of finite type, the *effectiveness of the termination* is an estimate in terms of the type only, on the number of steps leading to the termination, as well as the exponent involved in taking the radicals. In addition, it is desired to have alglrithmic selection rules for constructing sequences of the ideal generators ending with 1. The Kohn's algorithm termination effectiveness for special domains has been extensively studied by Y.-T. Siu [S10]. Our goal here is to obtain a fine effectiveness control in terms of our new invariants.

1.2. **Main results.** Our main new tool, generalizing D'Angelo's finite type approach, is the following invariant number associated to a set S of germs of holomorphic functions at 0 in $\mathbb{C}^n$, a germ of analytic subvariety $(V,0)$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and an integer k:

$$\tau^k_V(S) := \sup_{\gamma} \inf_{f\in S} \frac{\nu(j^k f\circ\gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)}, \quad k \geq 0, \tag{1.5}$$

where $j^k f = (\partial^\alpha f)_{|\alpha|\leq k}$ is the vector of all partial derivatives up to order k, and the supremum is taken over the set of all nonconstant holomorphic map germs $\gamma\colon (\mathbb{C},0) \to (V,0)$. We call τ^k_V the *k-type of S along V*. In particular, for $k = 0$, we obtain the D'Angelo type (1.4). It is easy to see that the k-types form a non-increasing sequence for $k = 0, 1, \dots$, and become equal to 0 whenever k is greater or equal the minimum vanishing order of a germ in S.

Since the effective termination is well-known if at least one function F_j has order 1 (see e.g. [CD10]), we shall assume that all functions F_j vanish of order ≥ 2 at 0. The following is the main result of the paper:

Theorem 1.1. *In the context of Kohn's algorithm for special domains* (1.1) *in* $\mathbb{C}^3$ *of finite type* T *at* 0*, there is an effective algorithmic construction of a sequence of multipliers*

$$f_1, \dots, f_l, \quad l \leq T(T-1)+4,$$

with the last $f_l = 1$, based on the invariants (1.5). *Every f_j is obtained by either taking a Jacobian determinant of linear combinations of elements in the set*

$$\{F_1, \dots, F_m, f_1, \dots, f_{j-1}\} \tag{1.6}$$

or a radical element with an exponent $s \leq T(T-1)$, i.e. f_j^s is contained in the ideal generated by (1.6).

We now outline the details of our effective algorithm. As in [S10], we call the given functions F_j *pre-multipliers*, to distinguish them from the *multipliers* obtained via the algorithm. It is important to emphasize that the pre-multipliers are only used inside the Jacobian determinants (4.3) but are never added to the ideals directly.

On a large scale, there are 3 *major steps*, each reducing the dimension of the variety defined by the multipliers (resembling Kohn's original termination proof for real-analtyic hypersurfaces [K79]).

The *first step* consists of constructing a Jacobian determinant f_1 from pre-multipliers with effectively bounded vanishing order (aka multiplicity) at 0. Our method gives a bound of at most $\leq T(T-1)$. In fact, a finer bound is given in terms of the k-types (as defined by (1.5)) of pre-multipliers along their zero curves, see (5.1). Note that other bounds are known from the work of D'Angelo [D82, D91, D93], Siu [S10] and Nicoara [N12]. Any known effective bound d can be used at this step to proceed with our construction.

The *second major step* aims to reduce the dimension of the variety

$$V := \{f_1 = 0\}$$

from 1 to 0. Our method here is based on a sequence of *minor steps* constructing new multipliers that gradually reduce the order $k \geq 0$ for which the k-type along V can be effectively bounded. The construction starts with $k = d \leq T(T-1)$ (the vanishing order of f_1), and at every minor step, the order k is reduced from k_j to $k_{j+1} < k_j$, where the new lower k_{j+1}-type along V gets an effective bound equal to the previous bound for the k_j-type plust at most $(k_j - k_{j+1})(T-1)$. In other words, lowering the jet order by m increases the type bound by $m(T-1)$. In fact, the method gives a finer bound with T replaced by the vanishing order of pre-multipliers only along curves in V. Based on the configuration of the k-types, a choice of several reductions is available, where fewer Jacobian determinant iterations can be traded for possibly rougher effective type bound and vice versa. At the end of this major step, we obtain a multipier f_{l-3} whose (0-)type along V is effectively bounded by an estimate no worse than $d(T-1)$ (where $d \leq T(T-1)$ is the vanishing order of f_1).

Finally, the *third major step* consists of taking the coordinate functions $f_{l-2} := z_1$ and $f_{l-1} := z_2$ in the radical of the finite type ideal $I(f_1, f_{l-3})$, and subsequently their Jacobian determinant $f_l = 1$. The corresponding radical exponent can be effectively bounded by

$$td \leq d^2(T-1) \leq T^2(T-1)^3$$

in terms of the vanishing order d of f_1 and the type $t \le d(T-1)$ of f_{l-3} along V. The bound td here can be obtained by repeating the arguments of D'Angelo's proof of Lemma 2.11 in [D82]. Alternative bounds can be found in the work of Siu [S10].

2. Contact orders and jets, polytopes

2.1. **Normalized vanishing order.** Let $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to\mathbb{C}$ be a germ at 0 of a holomorphic function in $\mathbb{C}^n$. We write

$$\nu(f) := \min\{|\alpha| : \partial_z^\alpha f(0)\neq 0\} \in \{\infty,0,1,\ldots\}$$

for the vanishing order of f, where

$$\alpha=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)\in\mathbb{N}^n,\quad |\alpha|:=\alpha_1+\ldots+\alpha_n,$$

is a multiindex and

$$\partial_z^\alpha = \partial_{z^1}^{\alpha_1}\ldots\partial_{z_n}^{\alpha_n}$$

is the corresponding partial derivative with respect to chosen coordinates $(z_1,\ldots,z_n)\in\mathbb{C}^n$. Clearly $\nu(f)$ does not depend on the choice of local holomorphic coordinates.

More generally, for any set S of holomorphic map germs (on the same $\mathbb{C}^n$), define its vanishing order to be the minimum vanishing order for its elements:

$$\nu(S) := \min\{\nu(f) : f\in S\}.$$

It is clear that $\nu(S)=\nu(I(S))$, where I is the ideal generated by S. In particular, for any holomorphic map germ

$$f=(f_1,\ldots,f_m)\colon(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to\mathbb{C}^m,$$

define its vanishing order to be the vanishing order of the set of its components, i.e.

$$\nu(f) := \min_{j=1,\ldots,m}\nu(f_j).$$

It is again easy to see that $\nu(f)$ also does not depend on the choice of local holomorphic coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^m$ in a neighborhood of $f(0)$.

Now following D'Angelo [D82, D91], for every holomorphic map germs

$$\gamma\not\equiv 0\colon(\mathbb{C}^m,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^n,0),\quad f\colon(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to\mathbb{C}^\ell,$$

define its *normalized vanishing order of f along γ* by

$$\nu_\gamma(f) := \frac{\nu(f\circ\gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)}. \tag{2.1}$$

In case $m=1$ (considered here), the normalized vanishing order is invariant under singular parameter changes $\gamma\mapsto\gamma\circ\varphi$, where $\varphi\colon(\mathbb{C},0)\to(\mathbb{C},0)$ is any nonconstant holomorphic map germ. Similarly, for a set S of holomorphic map germs, define its normalized vanishing order along γ by

$$\nu_\gamma(S) := \min\{\nu_\gamma(f) : f\in S\},$$

and again $\nu_\gamma(S)=\nu_\gamma(I(S))$ for the ideal $I(S)$ generated by S.

Note that in general, the normalized vanishing order may not be an integer, and, in fact, can be any rational number $p/q > 1$ as the example

$$\gamma(t) := (t^p, t^q), \quad f(z,w) := z, \quad \nu_\gamma(f) = p/q,$$

shows.

It is easy to see that given f, one has $\nu_\gamma(f) = \nu(f)$ for a generic linear map γ. In general, $\nu_\gamma(f)$ can only become larger:

Lemma 2.1. *The normalized vanishing order of f along any map γ is always greater or equal the vanishing order:*

$$\nu_\gamma(f) \geq \nu(f). \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Expanding into a power series $f = \sum f_\alpha z^\alpha$ with $|\alpha| \geq \nu(f)$, and substituting γ, we conclude $\nu(f \circ \gamma) \geq \nu(f)\,\nu(\gamma)$ as desired. □

2.2. **Contact order and finite type.** Let S be a set of holomorphic function germs $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to \mathbb{C}$. Then in view of Lemma 2.1 (and the remark before it), the vanishing order satisfies

$$\nu(S) = \min_\gamma \nu_\gamma(S).$$

At the opposite end, define (as in [D82, D91, D93]) the *contact order* or *the type* of S by

$$\tau(S) := \sup_\gamma \nu_\gamma(S) = \sup_\gamma \min_{f \in S} \nu_\gamma(f).$$

(Note that the minimum in the right-hand side is always achieved, since the denominator in (2.1) is fixed.) We say that the set S is of *finite type* $T = \tau_\gamma(S)$ if the latter number is finite. Since $\nu_\gamma(S) = \nu_\gamma(I(S))$ for the ideal $I(S)$ generated by S, we also have

$$\nu(S) = \nu(I(S)), \quad \tau(S) = \tau(I(S)).$$

2.3. **Contact order along subvarieties.** Let $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to \mathbb{C}^m$ be a holomorphic map germ as before and $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ any compex-analytic subvariety passing through 0. Then the *contact order* of f along V is defined by

$$\tau_V(f) := \sup_\gamma \nu_\gamma(f) \tag{2.3}$$

where the supremum is taken over all non-constant germs of holomorphic maps $\gamma\colon (\mathbb{C}, 0) \to (V, 0)$.

In particular, if V is (a germ of) an irreducible curve at 0, the right-hand side in (2.3) is independent of γ, since in this case, any two nonzero germs $\gamma\colon (\mathbb{C}, 0) \to (V, 0)$ are related by a sequence of singular reparametrizations. In general, the contact order along V is the maximum contact order along an irreducible components of V at 0.

2.4. **Jet vanishing orders.** The main new tool in this paper giving fine grained control over the ideals in Kohn's algorithm is the following notion of jet vanishing order.

For every integer $k \geq 0$, and a germ of a holomorphic map $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n,0) \to (\mathbb{C}^m,0)$, consider its k-jet $j^k f$, which in local coordinates can be regarded as a germ of a holomorphic map

$$F = j^k f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n,0) \to (\mathbb{C}^N,0),$$

(for suitable integer N dependent on n and m,) given by all partial derivatives of the components of f up to order k. Then define the *k-jet vanishing order of f* by

$$\nu^k(f) := \nu(j^k f) = \max(\nu(f)-k,0), \tag{2.4}$$

the *k-jet normalized vanishing order of f along a nonzero germ* $\gamma\colon (\mathbb{C}^m,0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ by

$$\nu^k_\gamma(f) := \nu_\gamma(j^k f),$$

(which are the minimum vanishing orders of partial derivatives up to order k), and the *k-jet contact order of f along a subvariety V* by

$$\tau^k_V(f) := \tau_V(j^k f) = \sup_\gamma \nu^k_\gamma(f), \tag{2.5}$$

where as in (2.3), the supremum is taken over all non-constant germs of holomorphic maps $\gamma\colon (\mathbb{C},0) \to (V,0)$. Note that again, this definition is independent of holomorphic local coordinates, and we have the monotonicity:

$$\nu^{k_1}(f) \geq \nu^{k_2}(f), \quad \nu^{k_1}_\gamma(f) \geq \nu^{k_2}_\gamma(f), \quad \tau^{k_1}_V(f) \geq \tau^{k_2}_V(f), \quad k_1 \leq k_2. \tag{2.6}$$

As consequence of (2.4) and the definitions, we have the stabilization property

$$\nu^k(f) = \nu^k_\gamma(f) = \tau^k_V(f) = 0, \quad k \geq \nu(f), \tag{2.7}$$

for any γ and any V.

Similarly, for any set S of germs $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n,0) \to \mathbb{C}^m$, define the numbers

$$\nu^k(S) := \nu(j^k S), \quad \nu^k_\gamma(S) := \nu_\gamma(j^k S), \quad \tau^k(S) := \tau(j^k S), \quad \tau^k_V(S) := \tau_V(j^k S),$$

that, in fact, depend only on the ideal generated by S.

Definition 2.2. Given an integer T and a sequence $P = (\nu^k)_k$, we say that ν^k is *T-exposed in P* if

$$\nu^{k-1} > \nu^k + (T-1),$$

i.e. the point $(k-1, \nu^{k-1})$ is above the $(T-1)$-slope through (k,ν^k).

3. Kohn's algorithm

Recall the *Kohn's algorithm for holomorphic multipliers*. For any set S of holomorphic function germs in $\mathbb{C}^n$, consider the ideal $J(S)$ generated by all possible function germs g such that

$$df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_n = g dz_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dz_n, \quad f_1, \ldots, f_n \in S,$$

i.e. by all possible determinants $\det(\partial f_1, \ldots, \partial f_n)$, where ∂f_j is regarded as a gradient column. Then the first Kohn's multiplier ideal is defined as the radical $J^1 := \sqrt{J(S)}$, and for any $k > 1$, the kth multiplier ideal is $J^k := \sqrt{J(S \cup J^{k-1})}$.

3.1. **Kohn's algorithm termination.** We say that the sequence of Kohn's multiplier ideals $J^1 \subset J^2 \subset \ldots$ terminates at k_0 if J^{k_0} contains 1. It is shown by Kohn in [K79] that for any holomorphic function germ set S of finite type at 0, the sequence of multiplier ideals terminates after finitely many steps. However, there is no good estimates for the number of steps involved.

3.2. **Effective termination.** We first replace the radical $\sqrt{J}$ by the k-radical $\sqrt[k]{J}$, which is the ideal generated by all holomorphic function germs g with $g^k \in J$. For every fixed k, replacing radicals with k-radicals we obtain the smaller k-multiplier ideal sequence

$$J_k^1 \subset J_k^2 \subset \ldots,$$

where $J_k^1 := \sqrt[k]{J(S)}$ and $J_k^s := \sqrt[k]{J(S \cup J_k^{s-1})}$ for $s > 1$.

Definition 3.1. We say that Kohn's algorithm terminates effectively if for every integer T there exists integer k such that whenever S is of finite type at 0 with $\nu(S) \leq T$, the sequence of k-multiplier ideals terminates at step k, i.e. $J_k^k \ni 1$.

Our goal is to prove effective termination in this sense. Our main method effectively constructs multipliers with explicit contact order bounds along specific curves. In particular, we obtain an effective construction of mutipliers generating effective powers of the maximal ideal, without taking any radicals, see Lemma 5.2.

4. special domains in $\mathbb{C}^3$

In this section we only consider the case where Ω is a special domain in $\mathbb{C}^3$, i.e., Ω is given by

$$\Omega = \{(u, z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : \mathsf{Re}\,(u) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} |F_j(z, w)|^2 < 0\}$$

for some holomorphic functions $F_1, \ldots, F_N$ such that $F_j(0, 0) = 0$ for all j. Since the Levi form is given by $\sum_j dF_j \wedge d\bar{F}_j$, to deal with the case where Levi form degenerates in both directions z and w, we assume that $dF_j = 0$ at the origin for all j.

Let S be the set of all linear combinations of $\{F_1, \dots, F_N\}$. An element in S is called a pre-multiplier. Let φ, ψ be two pre-multipliers. Then the coefficient of $d\varphi \wedge d\psi$ becomes a multiplier. Assume that

$$\tau(S) = T < \infty.$$

This is equivalent to the condition that Ω is of finite type at the origin. We will use T for effective construction.

We first prove that the minimum order is achieved for transversal derivatives under a comparison condition between jet vanishing orders.

Lemma 4.1. *Given holomorphic map germs*

$$\gamma \not\equiv 0 \colon (\mathbb{C}, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^2, 0), \quad F \colon (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \to \mathbb{C},$$

assume that $(\nu_\gamma^k(F))$ *is* 2*-exposed i.e.*

$$\nu_\gamma^{k-1}(F) > \nu_\gamma^k(F) + 1, \tag{4.1}$$

and $\gamma = (\alpha, \beta)$ *satisfies*

$$\nu(\alpha) > \nu(\beta) \geq 1.$$

Then for every given $k \geq 1$*,*

$$\nu_\gamma^k(F) = \nu_\gamma(\partial_z^k F), \tag{4.2}$$

i.e. the minimum vanishing order among all partial derivatives of order k *is achieved for the derivative transversal to (the image of)* γ*:*

$$\nu_\gamma(\partial_z^a \partial_w^b F) \geq \nu_\gamma(\partial_z^k F), \quad a + b \leq k.$$

Proof. Assume on the contrary that

$$\nu_\gamma(\partial_z^a \partial_w^b F) < \nu_\gamma(\partial_z^k F)$$

for some $a < k$ and $b \leq k - a$. We can choose a and b such that the minimum vanishing order is achieved, i.e.

$$\nu_\gamma^k(F) = \nu_\gamma(\partial_z^a \partial_w^b F) < \nu_\gamma(\partial_z^k F). \tag{4.3}$$

In view of (4.1), we must have the top order $a + b = k$ here, in particular, $b = k - a \geq 1$. Then

$$\nu(\partial_z^a \partial_w^b F(\gamma)) \leq \nu(\partial_z^{a+1} \partial_w^{b-1} F(\gamma)) < \nu\left(\partial_z^{a+1} \partial_w^{b-1} F(\gamma) \frac{\alpha'}{\beta'}\right), \tag{4.4}$$

as we have assumed $\nu(\alpha) > \nu(\beta)$. Differentiating in the parameter of γ, we obtain

$$\left(\partial_z^a \partial_w^{b-1} F(\gamma)\right)' = \beta' \left(\partial_z^{a+1} \partial_w^{b-1} F(\gamma) \frac{\alpha'}{\beta'} + \partial_z^a \partial_w^b F(\gamma)\right),$$

from which, using (4.4), we conclude

$$\nu\left((\partial_z^a \partial_w^{b-1} F(\gamma))'\right) = \nu(\partial_z^a \partial_w^b F(\gamma)) + \nu(\beta) - 1. \tag{4.5}$$

Since our definition of the k-jet vanishing order implies

$$\nu\left((\partial_z^a\partial_w^{b-1}F(\gamma))'\right) \geq \nu(\beta)\,\nu_\gamma^{k-1}(F) - 1,$$

we have in view of (4.3) and (4.5),

$$\nu(\beta)\,\nu_\gamma^k(F) = \nu(\partial_z^a\partial_w^b F(\gamma)) = \nu\left((\partial_z^a\partial_w^{b-1}F(\gamma))'\right) - \nu(\beta) + 1 \geq \nu(\beta)\,\nu_\gamma^{k-1}(F) - \nu(\beta). \tag{4.6}$$

On the other hand, by our assumption (4.1), we have

$$\nu(\beta)\,\nu_\gamma^{k-1}(F) - \nu(\beta) > \nu(\beta)\,\nu_\gamma^k(F),$$

which contradicts (4.6) completing the proof. □

The following is the main technical result.

Lemma 4.2. *Given holomorphic map germs*

$$\gamma \not\equiv 0\colon (\mathbb{C},0) \to (\mathbb{C}^2,0), \quad F,\varphi\colon (\mathbb{C}^2,0) \to \mathbb{C},$$

suppose that

$$\nu(\varphi) \geq 2, \tag{4.7}$$

and for some $k \geq 1$, $\nu_\gamma^k(F)$ is $\nu_\gamma(\varphi)$-exposed, i.e.

$$\nu_\gamma^{k-1}(F) > \nu_\gamma^k(F) + \nu_\gamma(\varphi) - 1, \tag{4.8}$$

where ν_γ^k is the k-jet vanishing order along γ. Then

$$G := \det\begin{pmatrix}\partial F\\ \partial\varphi\end{pmatrix}$$

satisfies

$$\nu_\gamma^{k-1}(G) = \nu_\gamma^k(F) + \nu_\gamma(\varphi) - 1.$$

Proof. By definition, we have

$$G = \partial_z F\,\partial_w\varphi - \partial_w F\,\partial_z\varphi,$$

and hence

$$\partial_z^a\partial_w^b G = \partial_z^{a+1}\partial_w^b F\,\partial_w\varphi - \partial_z^a\partial_w^{b+1}F\,\partial_z\varphi + \text{error terms}, \quad a+b = k-1, \tag{4.9}$$

where the error terms are bilinear expressions in $j^{k-1}F$ and $j^k\varphi$.

After a coordinate change if necessary, we may assume that the assumptions of Lemma 4.1 are satisfied, in particular

$$\nu(\gamma) = \nu(\beta)$$

and $\beta \not\equiv 0$.

Next our assumption (4.8) implies that the (normalized) vanishing order of the error terms in (4.9) along γ is strictly bigger than

$$\nu_\gamma^k(F) + \nu_\gamma(\varphi) - 1.$$

Therefore, to prove the lemma, it is enough to show that

$$\min_{a+b=k-1}\left(\nu_\gamma(\partial_z^{a+1}\partial_w^b F\,\partial_w\varphi-\partial_z^a\partial_w^{b+1}F\,\partial_z\varphi)\right)=\nu_\gamma^k(F)+\nu_\gamma(\varphi)-1. \tag{4.10}$$

Since

$$\left(\partial_z^a\partial_w^b F(\gamma)\right)'=\partial_z^{a+1}\partial_w^b F(\gamma)\alpha'+\partial_z^a\partial_w^{b+1}F(\gamma)\beta'$$

and

$$(\varphi(\gamma))'=\partial_z\varphi(\gamma)\alpha'+\partial_w\varphi(\gamma)\beta',$$

substituting these into the first two terms in the right-hand side of (4.9), we obtain

$$\partial_z^{a+1}\partial_w^b F(\gamma)\,\partial_w\varphi(\gamma)-\partial_z^a\partial_w^{b+1}F(\gamma)\,\partial_z\varphi(\gamma)=\partial_z^{a+1}\partial_w^b F(\gamma)\frac{(\varphi(\gamma))'}{\beta'}-\frac{\left(\partial_z^a\partial_w^b F(\gamma)\right)'}{\beta'}\partial_z\varphi(\gamma). \tag{4.11}$$

Since for $a+b=k-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\nu\left(\frac{\left(\partial_z^a\partial_w^b F(\gamma)\right)'}{\beta'}\partial_z\varphi(\gamma)\right) &= \nu((\partial_z^a\partial_w^b F(\gamma))')-\nu(\beta')+\nu(\partial_z\varphi(\gamma))\\ &= \nu((\partial_z^a\partial_w^b F(\gamma)))-1-\nu(\beta)+1+\nu(\partial_z\varphi(\gamma))\\ &= \nu(\beta)\left(\nu_\gamma(\partial_z^a\partial_w^b F)-1+\nu_\gamma(\partial_z\varphi)\right)\\ &\geq \nu(\beta)\left(\nu_\gamma^{k-1}(F)-1+\nu_\gamma(\partial_z\varphi)\right)\end{aligned}$$

we obtain by (4.8), (4.7) and Lemma 2.1,

$$\nu\left(\frac{\left(\partial_z^a\partial_w^b F(\gamma)\right)'}{\beta'}\partial_z\varphi(\gamma)\right)>\nu(\beta)(\nu_\gamma^k(F)+\nu_\gamma(\varphi)-1),\quad a+b\leq k-1. \tag{4.12}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nu\left(\partial_z^{a+1}\partial_w^b F(\gamma)\frac{(\varphi(\gamma))'}{\beta'}\right) &= \nu(\partial_z^{a+1}\partial_w^b F(\gamma))+\nu((\varphi(\gamma))')-\nu(\beta')\\ &= \nu(\partial_z^{a+1}\partial_w^b F(\gamma))+\nu(\varphi(\gamma))-\nu(\beta)\\ &= \nu(\beta)\left(\nu_\gamma(\partial_z^{a+1}\partial_w^b F)+\nu_\gamma(\varphi)-1)\right),\end{aligned}$$

where in view of (4.2), the minimum of the vanishing order of the last expression for $a+b=k-1$ is achieved for $(a,b)=(k-1,0)$ and equals to

$$\nu(\beta)\left(\nu_\gamma^k(F)+\nu_\gamma(\varphi)-1\right). \tag{4.13}$$

Together with (4.12), this implies that the minimum for $a+b=k-1$ of the right-hand side in (4.11) equals (4.13). This gives the desired relation (4.10), completing the proof. □

As first direct consequence in combination with Lemma 2.1, we obtain an estimate for the (total) vanishing order of the Jacobian determinant:

Corollary 4.3. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 4.2,*

$$\nu(G) \le \nu_\gamma^k(F) + \nu_\gamma(\varphi) + k - 1.$$

As next immediate consequence of Lemma 4.2, we obtain:

Corollary 4.4. *Given holomorphic map germs*

$$\gamma \not\equiv 0\colon (\mathbb{C},0) \to (\mathbb{C}^2,0), \quad F,\varphi\colon (\mathbb{C}^2,0) \to \mathbb{C},$$

suppose that

$$\nu(\varphi) \ge 2. \tag{4.14}$$

Then

$$\nu_\gamma^{k-1}(G) \le \nu_\gamma^k(F) + \nu_\gamma(\varphi) - 1,$$

where either $G = F$ *or* $G = \det \begin{pmatrix} \partial F \\ \partial \varphi \end{pmatrix}$.

Repeatedly applying Corollary 4.4, we obtain:

Corollary 4.5. *Given holomorphic map germs*

$$\gamma \not\equiv 0\colon (\mathbb{C},0) \to (\mathbb{C}^2,0), \quad f,\varphi\colon (\mathbb{C}^2,0) \to \mathbb{C},$$

suppose that $\nu(\varphi) \ge 2$*, and define* f_{j+1} *inductively by*

$$f_0 := f, \quad f_{j+1} := \det \begin{pmatrix} \partial f_j \\ \partial \varphi \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 0,1,2,\dots.$$

Then for every $k \in \{0,\dots,\nu(f)\}$*, there exists* $j \in \{0,\dots,\nu(f)-k\}$ *such that*

$$\nu_\gamma^k(f_j) \le (\nu(f)-k)(\nu_\gamma(\varphi)-1).$$

In particular, for $k = 0$*,*

$$\nu_\gamma(f_j) \le \nu(f)(\nu_\gamma(\varphi)-1)$$

holds for some $j \in \{0,\dots,\nu(f)\}$.

Proof. Setting $m := \nu(f)$, we obtain from the definition of the m-jet vanishing order that

$$\nu_\gamma^m(f_0) = \nu_\gamma^m(f) = 0.$$

Then Corollary 4.4 implies that there exist $j \in \{0,1\}$ such that

$$\nu_\gamma^{m-1}(f_j) \le \nu_\gamma(\varphi) - 1.$$

Next let $k = m-1$ and repeat the argument for $F = f_j$, to conlcude that there exist $j \in \{0,1,2\}$ such that

$$\nu_\gamma^{m-2}(f_j) \le 2(\nu_\gamma(\varphi)-1).$$

We repeat this process to show inductively that for any positive integer $\ell \le m$, there exists $j \in \{0,1,\dots,\ell\}$ such that

$$\nu_\gamma^{\ell}(f_j) \le (m-\ell)(\nu_\gamma(\varphi)-1)$$

as desired. □

5. Selection algorithm and Proof of Theorem 1.1

We shall write $\mathcal{C}$ for the set of nonzero holomorphic curve germs $(\mathbb{C},0)\to(\mathbb{C}^2,0)$. Let S be a set of holomorphic function germs $(\mathbb{C}^2,0)\to\mathbb{C}$ with minimal vanishing order

$$m := \nu(S) \geq 2,$$

and the finite type

$$T := \tau(S).$$

5.1. **Step 1.** Choose any $f_0 = f \in S$ with minimal (total) vanishing order

$$\nu(f) = \nu(S) = m.$$

Then choose any $\gamma\in\mathcal{C}$ in the zero set of f (i.e. $\nu_\gamma(f)=\infty$). Finally choose any $\varphi\in S$ with

$$\nu_\gamma(\varphi)\leq T$$

and set

$$\mu := \min\{k-1+\nu_\gamma^k(f) : \nu_\gamma^{k-1}(f) > \nu_\gamma^k(f)+\nu_\gamma(\varphi)-1,\, 1\leq k\leq m\}.$$

Since $\nu_\gamma(f)=\infty$, it is easy to see that

$$\mu \leq k_0-1+\nu_\gamma^{k_0}(f) \leq k_0-1+(m-k_0)(\nu_\gamma(\varphi)-1) \leq (m-1)(\nu_\gamma(\varphi)-1),$$

where

$$k_0 := \max\{k : \nu_\gamma^{k-1}(f) > \nu_\gamma^k(f)+\nu_\gamma(\varphi)-1,\, 1\leq k\leq m\}.$$

Then the multiplier

$$f_1 := \det\begin{pmatrix}\partial f\\ \partial\varphi\end{pmatrix}.$$

satisfies for some k,

$$k+\nu_\gamma^k(f_1) \leq \mu+\nu_\gamma(\varphi)-1$$

in view of Lemma 4.2. In particular, it follows that the vanishing order

$$\nu(f_1) \leq \mu+\nu_\gamma(\varphi)-1 \leq m(\nu_\gamma(\varphi)-1) \leq m(T-1). \tag{5.1}$$

Thus we have found a multiplier $f_1\in S_1$ whose vanishing order is bounded by any of the above estimates.

5.2. **Step 2.** Now choose any multiplier f_1 with vanishing order

$$\nu(f_1)\leq d\leq m(T-1).$$

Note that Step 1 yields such f_1, however, any other f_1 with a sharper estimate d can be chosen. Let $\gamma_i\in\mathcal{C}$ be germs of curves parametrizing irreducible components of the zero set of f_1. Choose $\varphi\in\operatorname{span}(S)$ with

$$\nu_{\gamma_i}(\varphi)\leq T,$$

which can be any generic linear combination of elements in S. Then Corollary 4.5 implies that

$$\nu_{\gamma_i}^{m-1}(f_j)\leq T-1,$$

where $j \in \{1, 2\}$ depending on i, and

$$f_2 := \det \begin{pmatrix} \partial f_1 \\ \partial \varphi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let Φ, S_0 be two sets of holomorphic function germs $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \to \mathbb{C}$ and define inductively*

$$S_{j+1} := S_j \cup \left\{ \det \begin{pmatrix} \partial f \\ \partial \varphi \end{pmatrix} : f \in S_j \cup \Phi,\, \varphi \in \Phi \right\}, \quad j \geq 0.$$

Write

$$d := \nu(S_0)$$

for the order of S_0 and assume that the type

$$\tau(\Phi) := \sup_{\gamma} \nu_\gamma(\Phi) = \sup_{\gamma} \min_{\varphi \in \Phi} \nu_\gamma(\varphi)$$

is finite. Assume further that

$$\nu(\Phi) \geq 2.$$

Then for $k < d$, the k-type of S_j satisfies

$$\tau^k(S_{d-k}) \leq (d-k)(\tau(\Phi) - 1).$$

In particular, for $k = 0$, we obtain

$$\tau(S_d) \leq d(\tau(\Phi) - 1).$$

Proof. Choose $f \in S_0$ with

$$\nu(f) = d$$

and let γ parametrize a curve in $\mathbb{C}^2$. By the definition of type, there exists $\varphi \in \Phi$ with

$$\nu_\gamma(\varphi) \leq \tau(\Phi).$$

Then Corollary 4.5 implies that there exists a sequence $F_k \in S_k$ such that

$$\nu_\gamma^{d-k}(F_k) \leq (d-k)(\nu_\gamma(\varphi) - 1),$$

which completes the proof. □

5.3. **Sep 3.** Let Φ be the set of pre-multipliers and let

$$S_0 := \left\{ \det \begin{pmatrix} \partial f \\ \partial \varphi \end{pmatrix} : f, \varphi \in \Phi \right\}.$$

Then Step 2 implies that there exists an integer $d \leq m(T-1)$ such that

$$\tau(S_d) \leq d(T-1).$$

Choose $F \in S_0$ such that

$$\nu(F) = d.$$

Then we can show that for each irreducible component C_j of $\{F=0\}$, there exists $F_j \in S_d$ such that

$$\nu_{C_j}(F_j) \le d(T-1).$$

Choose a generic linear combination $\widetilde{F}$ of $\{F_j\}$. Then $\widetilde{F}$ is a multiplier satisfying

$$\nu_{C_j}(\widetilde{F}) \le d(T-1) \text{ for all } j.$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\mathcal{I}(F,\widetilde{F})$ be the ideal generated by F and $\widetilde{F}$. Let*

$$d := \nu(F),\ t := \tau_{\{F=0\}}(\widetilde{F}).$$

Then there exists an integer $a \le dt$ such that

$$\mathcal{I}(F,\widetilde{F}) \ni z^a, w^a.$$

Proof. It is enough to show that

$$\dim\left(\mathbb{C}\{z,w\}/\mathcal{I}(F,\widetilde{F})\right) \le dt,$$

whose proof can be obtained by following the arguments of D'Angelo's proof of Lemma 2.11 in [D82]. □

By the above lemma, we have z^a, w^a as multipliers. Taking their $a-$th roots z, w and taking Jacobian determinant, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

References

[CD10] Catlin, D. W.; D'Angelo, J. P. Subelliptic estimates. Complex analysis, 75–94, Trends Math., Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2010.

[D82] D'Angelo, J.P. Real hypersurfaces, orders of contact, and applications. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **115** (3): 615–637, 1982.

[D91] D'Angelo, J.P. Finite type and the intersection of real and complex subvarieties. *Several complex variables and complex geometry, Part 3 (Santa Cruz, CA, 1989)*, 103–117, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **52**, Part 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.

[D93] D'Angelo, J. P. Several complex variables and the geometry of real hypersurfaces. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1993.

[K79] Kohn, J. J. Subellipticity of the $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann problem on pseudo-convex domains: sufficient conditions. *Acta Math.* **142** (1979), no. 1-2, 79–122.

[N12] Nicoara, A.C. Effective vanishing order of the Levi determinant *Math. Ann.* (2012) 354:1223–1245.

[S10] Siu, Y.-T. Effective termination of Kohn's algorithm for subelliptic multipliers. Pure Appl. Math. Q. 6 (2010), no. 4, Special Issue: In honor of Joseph J. Kohn. Part 2, 1169–1241.

S.-Y. Kim: Center for Mathematical Challenges, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, 85 Hoegiro, Dongdaemun-gu Seoul, Korea

E-mail address: sykim8787@kias.re.kr

D. Zaitsev: School of Mathematics, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland

E-mail address: zaitsev@maths.tcd.ie